U.S. Department of Education

2003-2004 No Child Left Behind—Blue Ribbon Schools Program Cover Sheet

Name of Principal Mrs. Marylou Seeman (Specify: Ms., Miss, Mrs., Dr., Mr., Other) (As it should appear in the office	rial records)
	As it should appear in the office	idi recolus)
Official School Name <u>Franklin Elementary School</u> (As it should appear in the off	ficial records)	
School Mailing Address 2627 East 17 th Avenue (If address is P.O. Box, also in	nclude street address)	
Spokane City	WA State	99223-5100 Zip Code+4 (9 digits total)
City	State	Zip code (4 () digits total)
Tel. (509) 354-2620 Fax (509)	354-2666	
Website/URL_http://www.spokaneschools.org	E-mail _	MarySe@spokaneschools.org
I have reviewed the information in this application, in certify that to the best of my knowledge all information		y requirements on page 2, and
	Date	
(Principal's Signature)		
Name of Superintendent* <u>Dr. Brian Benzel</u> (Specify: Ms., Miss, Mrs., Dr., Mr.,	Oth)	
(Specify: Ms., Miss, Mrs., Dr., Mr.,	Otner)	
District Name <u>Spokane Public School District</u>	81	Tel. (509) 354-5900
I have reviewed the information in this application, is certify that to the best of my knowledge it is accurate.	ncluding the eligibility	y requirements on page 2, and
(0 : 1 2 0: 1	Date	
(Superintendent's Signature)		
Name of School Board Mr. Rocco N. Treppiedi (Specify: Ms., Miss, Mrs., Dr., M	Mr. Other)	
I have reviewed the information in this package, incertify that to the best of my knowledge it is accurate.	cluding the eligibility	requirements on page 2, and
	Date	
(School Board President's/Chairperson's Signature)		
*Private Schools: If the information requested is not a	nnlicable write N/A iv	n the snace

PART I - ELIGIBILITY CERTIFICATION

[Include this page in the school's application as page 2.]

The signatures on the first page of this application certify that each of the statements below concerning the school's eligibility and compliance with U.S. Department of Education, Office of Civil Rights (OCR) requirements is true and correct.

- 1. The school has some configuration that includes grades K-12. (Schools with one principal, even K-12 schools, must apply as an entire school.)
- 2. The school has not been in school improvement status <u>or been identified by the state as</u> "persistently dangerous" within the last two years. To meet final eligibility, the school must meet the state's adequate yearly progress requirement in the 2003-2004 school year.
- 3. If the school includes grades 7 or higher, it has foreign language as a part of its core curriculum
- 4. The school has been in existence for five full years, that is, from at least September 1998.
- 5. The nominated school or district is not refusing the OCR access to information necessary to investigate a civil rights complaint or to conduct a district-wide compliance review.
- 6. The OCR has not issued a violation letter of findings to the school district concluding that the nominated school or the district as a whole has violated one or more of the civil rights statutes. A violation letter of findings will not be considered outstanding if the OCR has accepted a corrective action plan from the district to remedy the violation.
- 7. The U.S. Department of Justice does not have a pending suit alleging that the nominated school, or the school district as a whole, has violated one or more of the civil rights statutes or the Constitution's equal protection clause.
- 8. There are no findings of violations of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act in a U.S. Department of Education monitoring report that apply to the school or school district in question; or if there are such findings, the state or district has corrected, or agreed to correct, the findings.

PART II - DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

All data are the most recent year available.

DISTRICT (Questions 1-2 not applicable to private schools)

1. Number of schools in the district: 35 Elementary schools (Other: Pre-Schools

6 Middle schools Court-ordered
Junior high schools Group Home
6 High schools Hospital

30 Other (Briefly explain) Homeless Youth)

77 TOTAL

2. District Per Pupil Expenditure: \$7,795.03

Average State Per Pupil Expenditure: \$7,224.85

SCHOOL (To be completed by all schools)

3. Category that best describes the area where the school is located:

7	I Irhan a	r larga	aantral	aitre
- 1	Urban o	laige	Cellual	CILV
		0		

[X] Suburban school with characteristics typical of an urban area

1 Suburban

[] Small city or town in a rural area

[] Rural

4. Two (2) Number of years the principal has been in her/his position at this school.

Five (5) If fewer than three years, how long was the previous principal at this school?

5. Number of students enrolled at each grade level or its equivalent in applying school:

Grade	# of Males	# of Females	Grade Total	Grade	# of Males	# of Females	Grade Total		
K	16	11	27	7					
1	24	24	49	8					
2	28	29	57	9					
3	27	23	50	10					
4	33	28	61	11					
5	27	21	48	12					
6	19	27	46	Other					
TOTAL STUDENTS IN THE APPLYING SCHOOL →									

3 8% Asian/Pacific Islander	3.8% Asian/Pacific Islander	Z.170 HISDAIIC OF LAUTO	2.10/ Hignoria or Latina	the students in the school: 6.8% Black or African American			*
		<u>*</u>	2.1% Hispanic or Latino 3.8% Asian/Pacific Islander	2.1% Hispanic or Latino		3.6%	American Indian/Alaskan Nativ

Student turnover, or mobility rate, during the past year: 22%

(This rate includes the total number of students who transferred to or from different schools between October 1 and the end of the school year, divided by the total number of students in the school as of October 1, multiplied by 100.)

(1)	Number of students who	
	transferred <i>to</i> the school	
	after October 1 until the	35
	end of the year.	
(2)	Number of students who	
	transferred <i>from</i> the	
	school after October 1	38
	until the end of the year.	30
(3)	Subtotal of all	
	transferred students [sum	73
	of rows (1) and (2)]	
(4)	Total number of students	
	in the school as of	338
	October 1	
(5)	Subtotal in row (3)	
	divided by total in row	.22
	(4)	
(6)	Amount in row (5)	
	multiplied by 100	22%

8.	Limited English Proficient students in the school: 6.5% 22 Total Number Limited English Proficient
	Number of languages represented: <u>5</u> Specify languages: Ukrainian, Spanish, Vietnamese, Bosnian. Russian, and Asian/Pacific Islander
9.	Students eligible for free/reduced-priced meals: 51.1%
	173 Total Number Students Who Qualify

If this method does not produce a reasonably accurate estimate of the percentage of students from low-income families or the school does not participate in the federally-supported lunch program, specify a more accurate estimate, tell why the school chose it, and explain how it arrived at this estimate.

10.	Students receiving special education services:	<u>15.9%</u>	
		54	Total Number of Students Served

Indicate below the number of students with disabilities according to conditions designated in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

2	Autism	0	Orthopedic Impairment
0	Deafness	6	Other Health Impaired
0	Deaf-Blindness	18	Specific Learning Disability
0	Hearing Impairment	24	Speech or Language Impairment
3	Mental Retardation	0	Traumatic Brain Injury
1	Multiple Disabilities	0	Visual Impairment Including Blindness

11. Indicate number of full-time and part-time staff members in each of the categories below:

Number of Staff

	Full-time	Part-Time
Administrator(s)	1	0
Classroom teachers	14	2
Special resource teachers/specialists	3	0
Paraprofessionals	1	1
Support staff	2	1
Total number	21	4

- 12. Average school student-"classroom teacher" ratio: 22.67:1
- 13. Show the attendance patterns of teachers and students as a percentage. The student dropout rate is defined by the state. The student drop-off rate is the difference between the number of entering students and the number of exiting students from the same cohort. (From the same cohort, subtract the number of exiting students from the number of entering students; divide that number by the number of entering students; multiply by 100 to get the percentage drop-off rate.) Briefly explain in 100 words or fewer any major discrepancy between the dropout rate and the drop-off rate. (Only middle and high schools need to supply dropout rates and only high schools need to supply drop-off rates.)

	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001	1999-2000	1998-1999
Daily student attendance	94%	<u>94%</u>	<u>95%</u>		
Daily teacher attendance	<u>97%</u>	<u>98%</u>	<u>97%</u>		
Teacher turnover rate	<u>1%</u>	<u>1%</u>	<u>1%</u>		
Student dropout rate	<u>n/a</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>n/a</u>		
Student drop-off rate	n/a	n/a	n/a		

PART III - SUMMARY

Franklin Elementary School's mission is to provide a rigorous, child-centered education for all students. We believe that every child is unique, can learn and experience academic success. We believe that improved learning for every student begins with the strengthening of instructional practices. Washington State's Essential academic Learning Requirements ensure that everyone is working toward a clear and common goal. We support this mission of improved student learning through a collaborative effort between students, staff, families, and community.

Franklin is a relatively small school serving 354 students, K-6. We are set in an older residential neighborhood three miles from the city center of Spokane. Our population is culturally and economically diverse. Students from many ethnic groups attend Franklin. We have an ELL (English Language Learners) population consisting primarily of Hispanic, Russian, Bosnian, and Ukrainian families. Approximately 50% of our student body qualifies for free or reduced lunch. Franklin Elementary also houses the Alternative Parent Participation Learning Experience program (A.P.P.L.E.) for students in grades 1-6. A.P.P.L.E. students comprise almost 20% of the total population at Franklin and come to us from all over the district. Students have made significant gains yearly in test scores on the 2000 through 2003 Washington Assessment of Student Learning (WASL). We celebrate the growth students at Franklin have made while at the same time commit to academic success for every student.

The Franklin staff is comprised of dedicated educators who maintain a strong sense of loyalty and high academic expectations for Franklin students. Teachers collaborate regularly to share best instructional practices, to consider assessment results, and to review goals. Teachers are involved in ongoing training and professional book studies to further reflect upon and improve their instruction.

The families of Franklin Elementary have a long history of school involvement based on strong participation in homework programs, classroom volunteer programs, academic focus events, social events, and fund raisers. We have an active Parent Teacher Group which supports and helps to implement our shared mission.

We maintain community partnerships with Eastern Washington University and Washington State University. Franklin is a training site for student attending education classes and completing student teaching. We also benefit from community volunteers, many of whom are senior citizens, who participate in the Literacy Volunteer Program. This program assists students in maintaining reading and writing proficiencies. We are dedicated to working with students, staff, families, and our community to provide a strong and effective education for every student who attends Franklin.

PART IV – INDICATORS OF ACADEMIC SUCCESS

1. Franklin students made substantial gains from 2002 to 2003 on both state and local standardized tests in the areas of Reading and Mathematics. Reading scores showed increases in all three standardized tests. Third grade students at Franklin showed a 5% increase from 2001-02 to 2002-03. District and state Reading scores for the same period showed only a 1% increase. Franklin third graders tested 3% higher than students district wide and statewide in 2003.

Fourth grade Reading scores increased by 17% from 2002 to 2003. The district projected goal for

Franklin was only 56.2% of students meeting the standard by 2003. However, 89% of our fourth graders met the standard in 2003. Statewide, only 66% of students met the standard.

In the sixth grade, the number of students achieving average scores in the reading portion of the standardized test increased by 5% from 57% to 62%. Our students continue to have commensurate reading skills compared to 6th graders district and state wide. Sixth grade language scores are 4% above those of the district and 6% above state scores. Our students scored higher than students nationwide on 22 out of 26 subtests in language arts.

Franklin math scores realized equally impressive gains. Third graders realized an 8% increase in math scores from 2002 to 2003. District and state math achievement scores only increased by 1% each. Franklin students improved their academic performance from 35% to 44% in the high achievement range. The number of students scoring in the low range decreased from 22% to 13%. We are particularly proud of Problem Solving scores which improved by 9%. Estimation scores increased by 16%.

There was a 7% increase in overall math achievement among fourth grade students. 87.3% of Franklin fourth graders met the standard compared to 55.2% of students statewide and 65% of students district wide. Our students scored in the 91st percentile in Algebraic thinking and the 85th percentile in Problem Solving and Reasoning. The district estimated math achievement for Franklin in 2003 was 35%. Our actual achievement was 87%.

Standardized test scores in math for the sixth grade were 4% higher than district scores and 6% higher than the state in 2003. Sixth grade students at Franklin scored higher than sixth graders nationwide on 19 out of 23 math subtests.

2. Assessment data provided valuable information for teachers. We used test scores to help identify instructional areas needing improvement. Early test scores (1998-99) indicated that our students needed improved instruction in mathematics, particularly Number Sense and Algebraic Thinking. Increased staff development in those areas led to the creation of math communities within our school. Primary students were invited to observe and participate in intermediate math communities as students worked with one another on sample problems. Consultants from within and outside the district were invited to teach master lessons. Parent involvement was encouraged through parent training and all school math nights. As a result of the focus created by studying assessment data, our overall math achievement improved dramatically. Specifically, our scores in Number Sense and Algebraic Thinking increased by 33% and 26%, respectively, compared to schools with similar performance.

Reading performance also increased due to intentional instruction driven by assessment data. Low scores in comprehension of non-fiction text prompted us to write a grant sponsored by the Charlotte Martin Foundation to purchase appropriate student non-fiction books and hire an outside consultant to work with students and staff in many areas of reading comprehension. Our LAP and Title 1 instructors worked with students and staff to improve instruction of comprehension strategies. Most of our staff has taken advantage of district provided training in the Apprentice Model of Teaching and Learning to teach reading strategies. Our students have benefited from improved instruction. It shows improved scores overall and a 15% improvement in non-fiction comprehension. As our staff continues to analyze assessment data, we are committed to working toward a clear and comprehensive building plan for improved instruction. We use all information available to understand and improve student and school performance.

3. Our school uses several formats to communicate student performance to parents, students, and the community. Our district requires our school to report student performance at least three times per year. We report academic progress through mid-term grades which are sent home for parent review. In addition, the parents are offered the opportunity to conference with their child's teacher and principal at this time. Also, report cards are presented to the parents in conjunction with a conference three times during the school year. Moreover, homework assignments – a form of skill performance communication, are sent home for students in grades K-6 on a daily/weekly basis.

Informal communication between the parent, student, and teacher are realized through continuous verbal and written notification. The community is informed of our accomplishments through a monthly newsletter and an end of the year status report. This report includes state and federal testing results. These scores are published in the local newspaper. We communicate to parent and the community through monthly A.P.P.L.E. Action meetings as well as monthly PTG and Site Council meetings.

In an effort to enhance communication between teachers, parents, and students many teachers send home daily report cards. This enables the parents and students to be aware of the child's performance on a daily basis. This close partnership between school and home promotes accountability and positive academic results on national, state, and local assessments.

4. Franklin shares its successes in many ways and will continue to explore new avenues of communication. We value the opportunity to share and collaborate with others, as it benefits both parties.

At the district level, administrators, teachers, and facilitators have many opportunities to share accomplishments through professional meetings, workshops, and committee work. Educators from Franklin currently contribute to staff development throughout the state.

Inviting visiting educators from teacher training programs and other schools to observe the implementation of new teaching practices is an important way in which Franklin shares its achievement. Another mutually beneficial partnership is the integration of student teachers and practicum students into our learning community. It is through this collaboration that our practices are transmitted to teacher-training programs at the university level.

Franklin anticipates more fully utilizing district level communication systems including the district web page and newsletter to deliver important information.

Franklin feels that sharing our success with others is not only our professional responsibility but the key to continued growth. Through numerous means of communication we are able to share valuable information with others. Thus, the growth of Franklin is reflected in the growth of others.

PART V – CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

1. Upon leaving Franklin school, students will demonstrate the ability to:

Acquire and use the principles and concepts of equity, including the rights and responsibilities of self and others

Read with comprehension, produce quality writing, and communicate effectively and responsibly in a variety of ways and settings.

Know and apply the core concepts and principles of health and fitness, mathematics, social studies, the sciences and the arts.

Think analytically, logically, and creatively. In addition they will integrate experience, knowledge, and understanding to form reasoned judgements and solve problems in groups and independently.

Integrate core academic concepts and skills with life experiences; and understand the importance of work and how personal performance, effort, and decision directly affect career and educational opportunities.

Utilize information technologies, including computers, to communicate, acquire, promote and apply information to produce high quality products.

<u>Communication:</u> Franklin students will use listening and observation skills to gain understanding.

<u>Reading:</u> Franklin students will understand and use different skills and strategies to read. Our students will read different materials for a variety of purposes and understand the meaning of what is read. Students will ultimately set goals and evaluate their progress to improve their reading.

<u>Writing:</u> Franklin students will write clearly and effectively using the traits of quality writing. They will write in a variety of forms for different audiences and purposes. The students will understand and use the steps of the writing process to analyze and evaluate the effectiveness of their written work.

<u>Math:</u> Franklin students will understand and use number sense, probability and statistics, problem solving, mathematical reasoning, communication and connections to solve daily and life long problems.

<u>Science:</u> Franklin students will understand, observe, inquire, hypothesize, communicate, record and organize data.

<u>Social Studies:</u> Franklin students will analyze and understand the history, civics, geography and economics of local community, state, national and world cultures.

<u>Art:</u> Franklin students will understand and use elements, principles, techniques, function, style, presentation, individual development, problem solving and communication through the visual arts.

2. Our reading program is based on current research and the most successful instructional practices available. This research has shown that the four components children need to become proficient readers are choice, time, community, and responsibility. These components are embraced and utilized by our staff at Franklin. The current instructional materials in our classrooms include "Strategies That Work" (Harvey & Gordvis, 2000), "Reading With Meaning" (Miller, 2002), and "I Read It, But I Don't Get It" (Tovani, 2000).

We use the Apprenticeship Model of Teaching and Learning because we believe that for children to become proficient and life-long readers they need intentional modeling of cognitive strategies, with a gradual release of responsibility through shared and guided practice. Student literature includes quality trade books, picture books, and non-fiction materials.

We encourage families to engage in a reading partnership with their children and our school community by hosting reading nights, and communicating reading goals and strategies through conferences, newsletters, and homework.

The greatest strength of our reading program is that as teachers, we ourselves are a caring, cohesive community dedicated to collaborating, sharing, and the lifelong journey of learning.

3. Over the past several years, Franklin's math scores have significantly increased. We attribute this to the rigorous academic math program developed for all students. The students, staff, families, and community volunteers at Franklin collaborate to create an environment where students are encouraged to reach and surpass high expectations in math.

Students participate in "math communities" (small group rotations) where they work together to practice critical thinking skills in preparation for performance based assessments. You will also hear children in our classrooms using common math vocabulary K-6 as they problem solve, use mathematical reasoning, communicate with each other, and make connections to their world.

Grade level teams (staff) meet regularly to review assessment results in order to select inservices that will enrich future classroom instruction. The NCTM and our state curriculum committees provide math foundations that staff incorporates into instruction at each grade level. This provides consistency and continuity, establishing an environment of rigorous academic work in number sense, measurement, geometric sense, probability and statistics, and algebraic sense.

Families at Franklin participate in an annual Math Night that gives everyone a chance to learn math concepts through games and estimation activities. Parent and their children leave with materials and instructions to continue these investigations at home throughout the year. Homework is designed to support this model as well, actively involving and informing parents of math foundations.

Every year community volunteers lead "Math is Cool" competitive teams. These leaders give our students the chance to apply their math skills at community, district, and state math competitions.

We feel strongly that the collaborative effort between students, staff, families, and community volunteers creates math success for all children at Franklin.

4. The instructional methods that are used at Franklin Elementary to improve student learning are: Reading – A balanced literacy program under the umbrella of Reader's Workshop presented through an apprentice model of teaching and learning. We use collaboration time for professional development to do book studies such as Strategies That Work to improve student comprehension.
Writing – Writing instruction is presented through a Writer's workshop format to teach the writing process, the forms of writing and the traits of quality writing.
Math – We utilize the district curriculum and incorporate various problem solving strategies through programs such as Bridges (K-2), Investigations (3-4), and Connected Math (5-6). Common math vocabulary appropriate to grade level is presented and practiced.

Enduring understandings and essential questions as presented in <u>Understanding by Design</u> are incorporated throughout the curriculum. Assessment of student understanding is completed through self evaluation, rubrics and checklists, informal assessments such as conferences and observations, and formal assessments such as running records, DRA's, QRI's, and district mandated assessments.

5. In our mission to provide a rigorous child-centered education for every Franklin student, our teachers participate on a regular basis in professional development opportunities. All opportunities that we choose as a staff support this mission. We are committed to growing as learners and teachers through new training, which focuses on process (organizational structures), content (instructional practices) and/or affect (relationships).

Examples of our past professional development opportunities include the Apprenticeship Model of Teaching and Learning (AMTL), which stresses modeled instruction, shared and guided practice. The staff studied Strategies That Work, which has built a foundation of comprehension strategies for proficient readers at all grade levels. As a follow-up study we have committed to additional training in reading comprehension strategies for a second year through professional book study groups. In writing, the entire staff completed training in two writing innovations, Writers Workshop and Four Square Writing Method. For affective training all teachers have been trained in Professional Learning Communities, Love and Logic, and Tribes.

As Franklin teachers implement new learning in their classrooms, they have witnessed the impact this has had on student achievement. The consistency and commonality of our practices has provided a safe and unified backdrop for our learners. Students have demonstrated an excitement and enthusiasm for writing. They also read with improved comprehension, showing an ability to make meaningful connections.

Our goal at Franklin is that every teacher continues his/her professional development in order to ensure academic success for all students.

Franklin Elem	entary Dep	t of Edu	acation Blue	Ribbon Schoo	ls Program Ap	plication		
Test Id	owa Test of	Basic S	Skills Grade	3	MATH			
Edition 3	/16/95				Publisher	Rivers Publis		
Number of stu	dents in the	e grade	in which the	test was admir	nistered			
Number of stu	dents who	took the	e test					
					vere they assess an individual			
to participate Scores are repe								
Scores are rep	orteu nere a	18. FEIC	Lenuies					
Testing Mont	h: March		2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001			
SCHOOL SC								
Total Score			69	61	64			
Number of Stu	idents Test	ed	48	51	53			
Number of Stu	ıdents Excl	uded						
Percent of Stu	dents Exclu	ıded						
SUBGROUP	SCORES							
1. Free & Rec	luced Lunc	h	53	48	49			
Number of Stu	ıdents Test	ed	27	27	24			
2. Students of	Color *							
Number of Stu	idents Test	ed						
* Groups less	than 10 not	reporte	ed					

Franklin El	ementary Dept	of Education Blu	e Ribbon Schoo	ls Program Appl	ication		
Test	Iowa Test of I	Basic Skills Grad	le 3	READING			
					River	rside	
Edition	3/16/95			Publisher		shing	
Number of	students in the g	grade in which th	e test was admir	nistered			
Number of	students who to	ok the test					
		d from testing? Y special educati					
	ate in alternate a		Г		1	1	
Scores are	reported here as	: Percentiles					
T4' M		2002 2002	2001 2002	2000 2001			
SCHOOL	onth: March	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001			
Total Score		61	56	55			
	Students Tested		52	53			
Number of		1 47	32	33			
Excluded	G . 1			2			
Percent of S Excluded	Students			3.6			
SUBGROU	UP SCORES						
1. Free & 1	Reduced Lunch	49	44	42			
Number of	Students Tested	1 27	28	24			
2. Students	s of Color *						
Number of	Students Tested	l					
*Groups le	ss than 10 not re	eported					

Franklin Elemen	ntary Dept o	of Education Blu	ıe Ribbon Schoo	ols Prog	ram			
Application	intury Dopt o	1 Education En	ic Ribbon Schoo	J15 1 1 CE	,14111			
- 11								
	Washingto	n Assessment o	f Student Learn	ing				
Test	Grade 4		·		MATH			
						R	Riverside	
Edition	2003				Publisher	P	Publishing	
Number of stud	ents in the g	rade in which th	ne test was admi	nistere	d			
Number of stud								
What groups we			Why and how y	were the	v assessed?			
Excluded studer						ucati	on and are	
required to parti					III WILL TOWN IN THE		011 4114 42 2	
1 1	- 1							
	Ī		I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
"Explain the sta	indards for b	asic, proficient,	and advanced (or the r	elevant state c	atego	ories), and	
make clear what the re	agulta maan	in a vyay that so		or swith	the test can in	tarnr	at tha	
results."	esuits ilican	lli a way mai so	Meone umamm	di willi	the test can in	lterpi	et me	
Tesures.								
	·	**** C/ 4- ** ***				\vdash		
Dept of Ed C	Category	WA State WA	ASL Category Well below					
		Level 1	Standard					
% At or Above	Dagio	Level 2	Approaching S	Standar	1			
				u 				
% At or Above		Level 3	Meeting Stand					
% At Advanced	<u> </u>	Level 4	Exceeding Sta	ndard				
Testing Month	:	2002 2002	2001 2002	2000	*004			
April/May		2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-	2001			
SCHOOL SCO								
% At or Above (Lvls 2,3,4)	Basic	96.4	94.6	89.5				
% At or Above	Proficient	70.т	24.0	07.5				
(Lvls 3,4)	11011010111	87.3	80.4	68.4				
% At Advanced	(Level 4)	52.7	60.7	42.1				
Number of stud		55	56	55				
Percent of total		55	50	33				+
tested	Students	100	100	96.5				
Number of stud	ents							
excluded		0	100	2				
Percent of stude	ents							
excluded	1	0	0	3.5				
	İ		1	l				

SUBGROUP SCORES						
1. Free & Reduced Lunch						
% At or Above Basic (Lvls 2,3,4)	95.6	90.6	84.6			
% At or Above Proficient (Lvls 3,4)	86.9	68.8	57.7			
% At Advanced (Level 4)	60.9	46.9	34.6			
Number of students tested	23	32	26			
2. Students of Color *						
% At or Above Basic (Lvls 2,3,4)	90.0	90.0				
% At or Above Proficient (Lvls 3,4)	60.0	80.0				
% At Advanced (Level 4)	10.0	40.0				
Number of students tested	10	10				
STATE SCORES						
1. Free & Reduced Lunch						
% At or Above Basic (Lvls 2,3,4)	79.2	78.7	71.8			
State Mean Score **						
% At or Above Proficient (Lvls 3,4)	55.2	51.8	43.4			
State Mean Score						
% At Advanced (Level 4)	26.7	24.8	20.3			
State Mean Score						
* Groups less than 10 not re	eported					
** Reported here are the pe	rcentages at eac	h level for the s	tate			

F1-1: F14-	Dt - Cl	П. d	D:1-1 C-11-	D	A1: 4:		1	
Franklin Elementa	ry Dept of	Education Blue	Ribbon Schools	Program	Application	I		
	XX7 1 1 4		CC: 1 . I					
T	•	on Assessment o	f Student Learni	ng	DEADNIC			
Test	Grade 4	Т	Т	ı	READING			
							Riversio	
Edition	2003				Publisher		Publish	ing
Number of student			test was adminis	tered	1			
Number of student								
What groups were								
Excluded students	generally a	re special educa	tion students wit	th an ind	ividual educat	ion	and are	required
to participate in the	e Washingto	on Alternative A	ssessment.					
"Explain the stand	ards for bas	ic proficient ar	nd advanced (or i	the relev	ant state categ	ori	es) and r	nake
clear what the resu								
Cicai what the rest	into inicani ini	way that some		VVICII CIIC		100		
Dept of Ed Ca	tegory	WA State WA	SL Category					
20000124	eegorj	Level 1	Well below Sta	andard				
% At or Above Ba	sic	Level 2	Approaching S					
% At or Above Pro		Level 3	Meeting Standard					
% At Advanced		Level 4	Exceeding Star					
, 0 1 10 1 10 , 00110 0 0		20,01	znocom g sun					
Testing Month:								
April/May		2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2	001			
SCHOOL SCOR	ES	2002 2000	2001 2002					
% At or Above Ba								
2,3,4)	.510 (12 / 15	98.2	92.9	94.7				
% At or Above Pro	oficient	70.2	, 2.,,	,,				
(Lvls 3,4)	011010110	89.1	76.8	73.7				
% At Advanced (I	evel 4)	34.2	32.1	31.6				
Number of student		55	56	56				
Percent of total str								
tested		100	100	98.2				
Number of student	ts.	130	130	20.2				
excluded		0	0	1				
Percent of students	a avaludad	0	0	1.6		\vdash		

SUBGROUP SCORES					
1. Free & Reduced Lunch					
% At or Above Basic (Lvls					
2,3,4)	95.6	87.5	88.5		
% At or Above Proficient					
(Lvls 3,4)	86.9	68.8	57.7		
% At Advanced (Level 4)	21.7	21.9	26.9		
Number of students tested	23	32	26		
2. Students of Color *					
% At or Above Basic (Lvls					
2,3,4)	100.0	100.0	100.0		
% At or Above Proficient					
(Lvls 3,4)	80.0	70.0	71.4		
% At Advanced (Level 4)	10.0	30.0	28.6		
Number of students tested	10	10	7		
STATE SCORES					
1. Free & Reduced Lunch					
% At or Above Basic (Lvls					
2,3,4)	92.0	93.9	93.5		
State Mean Score **					
% At or Above Proficient					
(Lvls 3,4)	66.7	65.6	66.1		
State Mean Score					
% At Advanced (Level 4)	24.0	27.0	21.5		
State Mean Score					
* Groups less than 10 not repo					
** Reported here are the perc	entages at each	level for the state	e		

Enoughtin El							
		ot of Education B	lue Ribbon Schoo	ols Program			
Application				Ι			
		AD : GI : II G	1.6	25.45			
Test	Iowa Test of	f Basic Skills Gra	ide 6	MATH			
						Riverside	
Edition	3/16/95			Publisher	P	Publishing	
Number of	students in the	e grade in which	the test was admi	nistered			
Number of	students who	took the test					
What group	s were exclud	led from testing?	Why and how y	vere they assess	ed?		
						ation plan directir	ng them
		e assessments.				p	-8
Scores are r	enorted here	as: Percentiles					
/D / 1 1 1 1 1	41						
Testing Mo March	onth:	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001			
SCHOOL	SCORES						
Total Score		64	71	77			
Number of							
	Students						
Tested	Students	43	37	46			
Tested Number of		43	37	46			
Tested Number of Excluded	Students	43	37	46			
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S	Students	43	37	46			
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded	Students	43	37	46			
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU	Students	43	37	46			
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES	Students Students	43	37	46			
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU	Students Students	50	64	75			
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F	Students Students JP Reduced						
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F Lunch	Students Students JP Reduced						
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F Lunch Number of	Students Students JP Reduced Students	50	64	75			
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F Lunch Number of Tested	Students Students JP Reduced Students of Color *	50	64	75			
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F Lunch Number of Tested 2. Students	Students Students JP Reduced Students of Color *	50	64	75			
Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F Lunch Number of Tested 2. Students Number of Tested	Students Students JP Reduced Students of Color *	50	64	75			

Franklin Ele Application		t of Education Bl	ue Ribbon Schoo	ols Program			
Аррисации							
Test	Iowa Test of	f Basic Skills Gra	de 6	READING			
						iverside	
Edition	3/16/95			Publisher	Pι	ublishing	
Number of	students in the	e grade in which t	he test was admi	nistered			
Number of	students who	took the test					
What group	s were exclud	led from testing?	Why and how w	vere they assess	ed?		
		ally special educat				tion plan directin	ng them
	te in alternate					F	-8
1 1							
C	4 11	D 41					
Scores are r	eported nere a	as: Percentiles					
Testing Mo	onth:						
Testing Mo March	onth:	2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001			
		2002-2003	2001-2002	2000-2001			
March	SCORES	2002-2003 55	2001-2002 69	2000-2001 66			
March SCHOOL S	SCORES						
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested	SCORES Students						
March SCHOOL: Total Score Number of Tested Number of	SCORES Students	55	69	66			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded	Students Students	55	69	66			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S	Students Students	55	69	66			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded	Students Students Students	55	69	66			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU	Students Students Students	55	69	66			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES	Students Students Students UP	55	69	66			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F	Students Students Students UP	55 42	69 37	66 46			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F Lunch	Students Students Students UP	55	69	66			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F	Students Students Students UP	55 42	69 37	66 46			
March SCHOOL STORM Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of Storm Excluded SUBGROUS SCORES 1. Free & FLunch Number of	Students Students Students UP Reduced Students	42	69 37 55	66 46			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F Lunch Number of Tested	Students Students Students UP Reduced Students of Color *	42	69 37 55	66 46			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F Lunch Number of Tested 2. Students	Students Students Students UP Reduced Students of Color *	42	69 37 55	66 46			
March SCHOOL S Total Score Number of Tested Number of Excluded Percent of S Excluded SUBGROU SCORES 1. Free & F Lunch Number of Tested 2. Students Number of Tested	Students Students Students UP Reduced Students of Color *	40 21	69 37 55	66 46			